

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/670,747	09/27/2000	Wei Jen Yeh	7000-028 6518		
27820 75	590 02/12/2004		EXAMINER		
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			NGUYEN, ALAN V		
P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 27512			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
202201, 200			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/670,747		YEH ET AL.						
**	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Alan Nguy		2662						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE MA - Extension after SE - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever unication.)) days, a reply within the statut tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.					
Status										
1) 🗌 🖪	Responsive to communication(s) file									
- /	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositio	n of Claims									
4; 5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)⊠ 0	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-22, 25-41, and 44-54 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 23,24,42 and 43 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicatio	n Papers									
10)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 27 Septembers Applicant may not request that any objected to be oath or declaration is objected to	e <u>r 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ a ction to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:		ГО-152)					

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14-21, 25-28, 30, 31, 33, 36-41, 44-47, 49, 50, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miriyala (US 6,618,377).

Regarding claims 1, 18, 25, 38, and 44, Miriyala discloses a telephony node, method (figure 3, element "Group 1"; column 4, lines 56-66 discloses a ATM network system for handling IP packets), and a computer readable medium ("a typical computer system that may be used to run a server software", column 14, lines 21-24) comprising a first module (elements 306) for operating in conjunction with a redundant module (element 308) to form a node in a telephony system, the module comprising:

Art Unit: 2662

a network interface ("Group 1", figure 3, elements 306, 308, and 310); and a control system ("ATMARP server", element 302) associated with the network interface (column 7, lines 20-29 discloses that the server 302 is responsible for implementing ARP protocol together with the groups, which are responsible for routing traffic to and from an external network) and adapted to:

operate in an active mode when the redundant module is inactive and in a inactive mode when the redundant module is active (column 7, lines 54-59 discloses in the event that the highest priority client [active] cannot function properly or is unavailable to service the IP address, the next highest priority client [inactive] will service the same IP address);

communicate via the network interface using a first IP address when operating in the active mode (column 7, lines 52-54 discloses the primary client is responsible for servicing the IP address for the group);

communicate via the network interface using a second IP address when operating in the inactive mode (column 8, lines 9-12 discloses the standby client [inactive] may possess other IP addresses that it uses in other roles. Column 9, lines 16-20 discloses the devices may be configured with standby IP addresses), wherein the module represents the node when active using the first IP address (column 7, lines 54-59 discloses in the event that the highest priority client [active] cannot function properly or is unavailable to service the IP address, the next highest priority client [inactive] will service the same IP address).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 2, 26, and 45, with the features of parent claims 1, 25, and 44 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the control system is further adapted to communicate via the network interface using a unit IP address for communications based on the module regardless of being active or inactive (column 7, lines 54-59 discloses in the event that the highest priority client [active] cannot function properly or is unavailable to service the IP address, the next highest priority client [inactive] will service the same IP address).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 20, 27, 28, 40, 46, and 47 with the features of parent claims 1, 18, 25, 38, and 44 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the control system is adapted to provide information by broadcasting a packet to the at least one device upon switching from the inactive mode to the active mode providing the information to associate the first IP address with the first hardware address (column 3, lines 10-14 discloses that implementation of ARP requires a broadcast medium on which to transmit an ARP request. Figure 1B, and column 3, lines 55-62 further discloses that upon receiving an ARP request from device 104, the server 102 determines that the destination IP address corresponds to the unique hardware address [NSAP address] of device 108 ("active device") and sends to device 104 that hardware address).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 21, 30, 31, 41, 49, and 50 with the features of parent claims 1, 18, 25, 38, and 44 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the redundant module is associated with a second hardware address and the control system is further adapted to provide information by broadcasting to at least one device on the network to

Art Unit: 2662

associate the second IP address with the second hardware address of the redundant module when operating in the active mode (column 3, lines 10-14 discloses that implementation of ARP requires a broadcast medium on which to transmit an ARP request. Column 8, lines 6-12, discloses that the standby device 306 has other IP addresses that it uses in other roles. It is entirely possible that device 306 may be responsible for routing traffic simultaneously over multiple IP addresses. Therefore, if device 306 is capable of routing traffic, its unique hardware address must be sent to other devices so they can transmit packets to device 306).

Regarding claims 9, 33, and 52, with the features of parent claim 7, 31, and 50 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the control system is further adapted to determine when the redundant module is operational and periodically provide the information to associate the second IP address with the second hardware address to the at least one device until the control system determines the redundant module is operational (column 8, lines 6-12, discloses that the standby device 306 has other IP addresses that it uses in other roles. It is entirely possible that device 306 may be responsible for routing traffic simultaneously over multiple IP addresses.

Therefore, if device 306 is capable of routing traffic, its hardware address must be sent to other devices so they can transmit packets to device 306. This process is continued until the standby device 306 becomes a primary device, where it will then associate itself with the shared IP address (first IP address) as disclosed on column 7, lines 54-58).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 12, with the features of parent claim 1 addressed above,

Miriyala discloses where comprising a computation interface to communicate with a

computation module associated with the call processing system, the control system

further adapted to communicate with the computation module via the computation

interface to allow the computation module to communicate over the network via the

module (column 11, lines 6-11, discloses an interface 512 may be represented by

an IP address of 76.32.1.9 and may be responsible for video and voice

transmission. Column 8, lines 57-66, discloses the server 302 includes a central

processing unit CPU 314, memory 319, and one or more ATM interfaces. When

acting under the control of appropriate software or firmware, the CPU 314 is

responsible for such router tasks as routing table computations and network

management. It may also be responsible for issuing ARP Client communications,

applying configuration data).

Regarding claims 14, 15, 36, and 37 with the features of parent claim 1 and 25 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the module is associated with a first hardware address and the control system is further adapted to provide information by broadcasting an ARP request to at least one device on the network to associate the first IP address with the first hardware address prior to sending a message over the network (figure 6A and column 11, lines 22-27 discloses that the ATMARP server 302 maintains a table that contains entries which associates an IP address component and a hardware component to each device. Column 11, lines 54-59, discloses the failure of a device, and the server 302 adjusts the table to reflect the

Art Unit: 2662

address change. Since this embodiment utilizes address resolution protocol, the server 302 must have a way to broadcast an ARP request to update the data).

Regarding claim 16, with the features of parent claim 1 addressed above,

Miriyala discloses where comprising a telephony interface for handling circuit-switched traffic and a computation module interface for communication with a computation module to form a peripheral module for a digital switch, the telephony module and computation module cooperating to provide call processing (column 11, lines 6-11, discloses a sub interface 510 may have a specific IP address and may be responsible for LAN emulation on an ATM network. A third interface 512 may be represented by another IP address and may be responsible for video and voice transmission).

Regarding claim 17, with the features of parent claim 1 addressed above,

Miriyala discloses where the control system is further adapted to control a media
gateway as part of a media gateway controller (figure 2 and column 4, lines 6-11

discloses the ATMARP Client 104 may be a gateway router leading to the Internet
206, which includes an entity 206 connected to the Internet 206. The ATMARP

Client 108 connects with the local network 210, which includes various network
nodes such as an arbitrary entity 212).

Regarding **claim 19 and 39**, with the features of parent claim 18 and 38 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the control system for the first module is further adapted to communicate via the network interface using a first unit IP address for communications based on the first module regardless of being active or inactive

(column 7, lines 54-59 discloses in the event that the highest priority client [active] cannot function properly or is unavailable to service the IP address, the next highest priority client [inactive] will service the same IP address) and the control system for the second module is further adapted to communicate via the network interface using a second unit IP address for communications based on the second module regardless of being active or inactive (column 8, lines 9-12 discloses the standby client [inactive] may possess other IP addresses that it uses in other roles. Column 9, lines 16-20 discloses the devices may be configured with standby IP addresses

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 8, 29, 32, 48, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miriyala in view of Bender (US 6,366,561).

Regarding claims 5, 8, 29, 32, 48, and 51 with the features of parent claims 4, 25, and 44 addressed above, Miriyala discloses the use of broadcasting an ARP request over the network intended to be received by the at least one device upon switching from the inactive mode to the active mode to provide the information to

associate the first IP address with the first hardware address (column 3, lines 10-14 discloses that implementation of ARP requires a broadcast medium on which to transmit an ARP request. Figure 1B, and column 3, lines 55-62 further discloses that upon receiving an ARP request from device 104, the server 102 determines that the destination IP address corresponds to the hardware address [NSAP address] of device 108 ("active device") and sends to device 104 that hardware address).

Page 9

Miriyala fails to expressly disclose the step of the control system being further adapted to broadcast a gratuitous ARP request over the network.

Bender, however, discloses an IP network using redundancy of nodes that has a control system ("modem pool controller, MPC", column 6, lines 28-32) that broadcast a gratuitous ARP request over the network (column 13, lines 23-28 discloses the uses of gratuitous ARP is known by those skilled in the art of networking. MPC 320B sends a gratuitous ARP message to all other members of its subnet, informing those entities that all packets with a specific destination address should be sent to the ethernet hardware address of MPC 320B).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miriyala's apparatus to have the feature of broadcast a gratuitous ARP request over the network, as taught by Bender. The motivation is the use of the gratuitous ARP can decrease the amount of time it takes for packets from one node to be routed to the next, as disclosed by Bender on column 13, lines 28-32.

5. Claims 10, 11, 22, 34, 35, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miriyala in view of Lelaure et al (US 6,640,314) hereinafter Lelaure.

Regarding claim 10, 11, 22, 34, 35, 53, and 54 with the features of parent claims 1, 18, 25, and 44 addressed above, Miriyala discloses where the module is associated with a first hardware address and the redundant module is associated with a second hardware address (column 8, lines 21-26 discloses that is the responsibility of the ATMARP Server 302 to use the relevant lower level address system for unique identification. ARP Clients 310, 308 and 306 may have NSAP address [hardware address] designations of NSAP-1, NSAP-2 and NSAP-3, respectively).

Miriyala fails to expressly disclose the control system is further adapted to provide information to at least one device on the network to associate the first IP address with the second hardware address upon receipt of a message having the first IP address and the first hardware address when operating in the inactive mode, and further failing to associate the second IP address with the second hardware address upon receipt of a message having the second IP address and the first hardware address when operating in the active mode.

Lelaure, however, discloses a redundant automation system using IP addressing that switches the IP addresses of the active device and the inactive device while retaining the hardware addresses during a failure of the primary device. Lelaure discloses the control system is further adapted to provide information to at least one device on the network to associate the first IP address with the second hardware

Art Unit: 2662

address upon receipt of a message having the first IP address and the first hardware address when operating in the inactive mode (figure 2 and column 3, lines 17-32 discloses the PLC A that is in the normal state has a hardware address @MAC1 and an IP address denoted @IPn. PLC B, which is in the standby state has a hardware address @MAC2 and an IP address denoted @IPs. After switching, the coupler CC2-B on PLC B for which the hardware address is @MAC2 takes on the IP address @IPn by changing to the normal state. The IP address of coupler CC2-A of PLC A for which the hardware address is @MAC1 then becomes @IPs, provided that it can do so depending on the failure).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miriyala's apparatus to have the feature of switching the IP addresses of the active device and the inactive device while retaining the hardware addresses during a failure of the active device, as taught by Lelaure. The motivation is that when switching takes place between two devices within the redundant system following an operating problem, it occurs very quickly and therefore loss of communication with third party equipment is minimized, or is even imperceptible, as disclosed by Lelaure on column 1, lines 35-40.

6. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miriyala in view of Onweller (US 5,907,610).

Regarding **claim 13** with the features of parent claims 12 addressed above,

Miriyala discloses the use of a computational interface for the computational module

(column 11, lines 6-11, discloses an interface 512 may be represented by a specific IP address and may be responsible for video and voice transmission.

Column 8, lines 57-66, discloses the server 302 includes a central processing unit CPU 314, memory 319, and one or more ATM interfaces. When acting under the control of appropriate software or firmware, the CPU 314 is responsible for such router tasks as routing table computations and network management. It may also be responsible for issuing ARP Client communications, applying configuration data).

Miriyala fails to expressly where the control system is further adapted to establish a remote socket interface with the computation module via the computation interface.

Onweller, however, discloses a telephony communications networking system that utilizes a remote socket interface (column 13, lines 15-20 discloses a process interface that provides a socket through which the communications traverse, and the socket is a gateway between "INTERFACE" and the LAN 196 or router 204 of figure 3A).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miriyala's apparatus to have the feature a remote socket interface to other networks, as taught by Onweller. The motivation is a more portable and accessible system with the ability to provide direct access to other networks, as disclosed by Onweller on column 13, lines 10-15.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23, 24, 42, and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claim 23, the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose where the combination of the control system for the first module broadcasts a first gratuitous ARP request over the network to be received by at least one device when switching from inactive mode to active mode, the first gratuitous ARP request containing the first IP address and a unique hardware address for the first module, and the control system for the second module is adapted to broadcast a second gratuitous ARP request over the network intended to be received by the at least one device upon switching from the inactive mode to the active mode, and the second gratuitous ARP request containing the first IP address and a unique hardware address for the second module. Regarding claim 24, the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose where the combination of the control system for the first module broadcasts a first gratuitous ARP request over the network to be received by at least one device when switching from inactive mode to active mode, the first gratuitous ARP request containing the second IP address and a unique hardware address for the second module and the control system for the second module is adapted to broadcast a second gratuitous ARP request over the network to be received by at least one device upon switching from the inactive mode to the active mode, and the second gratuitous ARP request containing the second IP address and a unique hardware address for the first module. Regarding claim 42, the cited references taken individually or in combination

fails to particularly disclose where the combination of broadcasting a gratuitous ARP request over the network to be received by at least one device on a network when switching from inactive mode to active mode, the gratuitous ARP request containing the first IP address and a unique hardware address for an active one of the first and second modules. Regarding claim 43, the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose where the combination of broadcasting a gratuitous ARP request over a network to be received by at least one device when switching from the inactive mode to the active mode, the gratuitous ARP request containing the second IP address and a unique hardware address for the inactive one of the first and second modules.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to show the state of the art with respect to redundancy in communication networks

US Patent (6,438,100) to Halpern et al

US Patent (6,674,713) to Berg et al

US Patent (6,515,985) to Shmulevich et al

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0369. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 703-305-4798. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

AVN February 6, 2004

RICKY NGO
PRIMARY EXAMINER